



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (PIL) No. - 603 of 2026

Shivendu Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy./Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. Lko.
and 11 others

.....Respondent(s)

Along with :

1. Public Interest Litigation (pil) No. 609 of 2026:

Abhishek Dubey

Versus

State of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Higher Education, Lko. and 5
others

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: In Person, Rakesh Devi Prasad Kumar, Rohit Tripathi, Shishir Srivastava, Syed Zulfiqar Husain Naqvi
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: A.S.G.I., Aprajita Bansal, C.S.C., Manish Jauhari, Ratnesh Chandra, Shailendra Singh Chauhan, Shubham Tripathi

Court No. - 1

HON'BLE RAJAN ROY, J.

HON'BLE MANJIVE SHUKLA, J.

(C.M. Application No.Nil of 2026)

1. This is an application for intervention on behalf of the applicant-
Jayant Gupta through his counsel Sri Shashank Tilhari.

2. Heard.

3. The intervention application is allowed. The name of his counsel
Sri Shashank Tilhari shall be shown in the cause list as counsel for
the applicant-Jayant Gupta when the case his listed next.

(C.M. Application No.Nil of 2026)

4. This is an application for intervention on behalf of family of the victims through their counsel Sri Rohit Tripathi.

5. Heard.

6. The application for invention is allowed. The name of Sri Rohit Tripathi, Advocate shall be shown in the cause list as counsel for the invernors when the case is listed next.

(Order on petition)

7. Heard.

8. Sri Kaustubh Shandilya, Advocate proposes to file a Vakalatnama after removing defects today itself with regard to one of the two owners of the building where the fire incident took place, namely, Virendra Prasad Shukla . His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.

9. Petitioner should implead the other owner Surendra Prasad Shukla also during course of the day. Sri Kaustubh Shandilya, Advocate says that he would be filing Vakalatnama on behalf of newly impleaded opposite party also, therefore, notices need not be issued to him separately. Owners may file their response to the writ petition before the next date.

10. Sri V.K. Shahi, learned Additional Advocate General has appeared for the State. He has placed before us an order of Secretary, Department of Home, Government of U.P., Lucknow dated 15.10.2026 which is taken on record wherein direction has been issued to the A.C.S./ Principal Secretary, Medical Health & Family Welfare and Medical Education Department, U.P. that no medical expenses will be charged from the applicant-Sri Jayant Gupta for his medical treatment at King George's Medical University and that he would be provided proper medical treatment and if any medical expenses are to be incurred then a demand in that regard should be raised by the aforesaid before the State Government who shall bear the same.

11. Learned counsel for the applicant-Sri Jayant Gupta says that he has already spent about 2.5 Lakh towards his treatment whereas he has received only about 1.5 Lakh from all the sources as compensation. He is permanently disabled and is unable to move. Prior to the incident where he got injured, he was a character artist earning about Rs.46,000/- per month. Now, he is unable to earn anything and is dependent upon his father who has a pensionary income of only about Rs.25,000/-, therefore, he seeks compensation.

12. We have already made observations in this regard in our earlier orders. Let the State Government come up with the specific instructions as to what compensation it proposes to pay to the petitioner then we will consider how far it is adequate. In the meantime, if proof of spending Rs.2.5 Lakh towards medical treatment is submitted by the applicant-Sri Jayant Gupta to the A.C.S. aforesaid through Sri V.K. Shahi, learned Additional Advocate General then the same shall be processed and requisite amount shall be paid to him before the next date. As regards the current and recurring expenditure for his treatment whether in the hospital or at his home, similar procedure would be adopted, meaning thereby, the applicant-Jayant Gupta shall submit bills in this regard to the A.C.S. aforesaid through office of Sri V.K. Shahi, learned Additional Advocate General who will forward it to the concerned officer and the same shall be processed and the amount would be paid to the applicant every month.

13. As regards preparation of a policy framework/ enactment as the case may be, for grant of compensation as has been referred in our earlier order dated 09.09.2026, Sri V.K. Shahi, learned Additional Advocate General informs that a high level committee has been constituted under the chairmanship of A.C.S. (Home) which shall look into the matter and come up with the said policy framework/ proposal for statute, as the case may be. We hope and trust that the aforesaid high level committee shall come up with a reasonable & fair policy/ proposal in this regard.

14. On the next date, the compliance of other directions issued earlier in these proceedings and the connected proceedings shall be demonstrated before the Court by the respective parties.

15. The matter shall now come up before this Court in the fourth week of November, 2026.

October 16, 2026

Shanu/-

(Manjive Shukla,J.) (Rajan Roy,J.)