



2026:AHC-LKO:58161

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

WRIT - A No. - 7475 of 2026

Virendra Singh Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Housing And Urban
Planning Deptt. Lko. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Abhishek Singh, Akhand Kumar Pandey, Gautam Singh Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C., Ratnesh Chandra

Court No. - 6

HON'BLE PANKAJ BHATIA, J.

1. Vakalatnama(s) filed by Sri Krishna Kumar Pandey and Sri Prafulla Tiwari, Advocates on behalf of respondent nos. 2 and 3 respectively are taken on record.

2. Heard Sri Akhand Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Krishna Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the respondent no.2, Sri Prafulla Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondent no. 3 and learned Standing Counsel.

3. Present petition has been filed with the following prayer:-

"i. issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondent authorities, particularly respondent no.2, to forthwith add and count the petitioner's past service rendered in the Uttar Pradesh State Employees Welfare Corporation w.e.f. 09.03.1990, along with subsequent continuous service in the Lucknow Development Authority, for the purpose of fixation of pay, determination of pension and calculation of all retiral benefits;

ii. issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondent no.2 to process, finalize and release all lawful post-retiral dues of the petitioner including pension, gratuity, leave encashment and other admissible retiral benefits, along with interest at a reasonable rate, within a time frame to be fixed by this Hon'ble Court;

iii. issue a writ, order or direction commanding the respondent authorities to consider and decide the petitioner's representation dated 19.11.2025 by passing a reasoned and speaking order within such period as may be directed by this Hon'ble Court;"

4. Considering the fact that the petitioner had already made a representation, which is pending adjudication before the respondent no. 2, the present writ petition is **disposed** of permitting the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the respondent no. 2, who shall decide the same in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

(Pankaj Bhatia,J.)

August 18, 2026
Raj Nigam