



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD  
LUCKNOW**

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.  
- 1229 of 2026**

Vikas Pandey

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Home Lko.

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Akhand Kumar Pandey, Abhishek Singh  
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 16**

**HON'BLE SHREE PRAKASH SINGH, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned AGA for the State and perused the record.
2. The instant anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant with the prayer to release him on anticipatory bail in Case Crime No. 0031 of 2026, under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 316(5), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 61(2) B.N.S, Police Station Kotwali Tanda, District Ambedkar Nagar.
3. Contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that the applicant is not named in the F.I.R and even in the statements of the main accused Umair Ahmad, the applicant is not named. He added that in the third statement of Umair Ahmad, the name of the applicant has been surfaced. There is no direct transfer/credit of the alleged misappropriated amount in the account of the applicant. He further submits that the applicant is a bank employee, working as a Cashier. The criminal history of 4 cases of the applicant has been explained in paragraph- 39 of the bail application.
4. He further submits that the applicant is undertaking that he will co-operate with the investigating proceedings and he will remain present before the Investigating Officer as and when required. He further submits that the applicant has no previous criminal history and he is a law abiding citizen and he has acute apprehension of being arrested by the police, as such, he may be granted anticipatory bail which he will not misuse.
5. On the other hand, learned AGA appearing for the State has opposed the contentions aforesaid and submitted that the applicant is named in the F.I.R. and there is sufficient proof that he has committed the offence, as such, he is not entitled to any relief.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and after perusal of the record, this Court find it to be a fit case of interim anticipatory bail.

7. Till the next date of listing, the present applicant **Vikas Pandey** shall be released forthwith in the aforesaid case crime (supra) on an interim anticipatory bail on his furnishing personal bond and two solvent sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

(iii) that the applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the court;

(iv) that in case charge sheet is submitted the applicant shall not tamper with the evidence during the trial;

(v) that the applicant shall not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witness;

(vi) that the applicant shall appear before the trial court on each date fixed unless personal presence is exempted;

(vii) that in case of breach of any of the above conditions the court concerned shall have the liberty to cancel the bail.

8. Let counter affidavit be filed by the learned A.G.A for the State within three weeks.

9. One week thereafter is granted to the learned counsel for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.

10. List thereafter.

11. Interim protection shall continue till the next date of listing.

**June 30, 2026**  
DiVYa

**(Shree Prakash Singh,J.)**