

ITEM NO.38

COURT NO.5

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 758/2026

NAMRATA NEOPANEY & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE REGISTRAR GENERAL OF
THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 178377/2026 - STAY APPLICATION

Date : 15-06-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

[PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Talha Abdul Rahman, AOR
Ms. Rupali Samuel, Adv.
Mr. M Shaz Khan, Adv.
Mr. Sudhanshu Tewari, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the full court did not consider his substantive representation and an order of termination came to be passed. We are of the view that the petitioner may approach the High Court in the judicial side. There is no embargo on the High Court to entertain a Writ Petition against its own administrative order.
2. The order of termination shall not be given effect to for a period of six weeks from the date to enable the petitioner to approach the High Court.
3. All contentions are kept open.

4. With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of.
5. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KANCHAN CHOUHAN)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(CHETNA BALOONI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)