



2026:AHC:105273-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 9582 of 2026

Saddam Husain

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Chaman Aara, Shabista Parveen
Counsel for Respondent(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 49

HON'BLE RAJEEV MISRA, J.

HON'BLE PADAM NARAIN MISHRA, J.

1. Heard Ms. Shabista Parveen, the learned counsel for petitioner and the learned A.G.A. representing State-respondents 1, 2 and 3.

2. Perused the record.

3. Petitioner- **Saddam Husain** has approached this Court by means of present criminal misc. writ petition challenging the FIR dated 25.07.2025 lodged by first informant-respondent-4 Enuddin and registered as Case Crime No. 0207 of 2025, under Sections 304-B, 498-A, 323, 506 IPC and Sections 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, Police Station- Nichloul, District- Maharajganj.

4. Learned counsel for petitioner in challenge to the impugned FIR proceeded with his submissions. However, after some arguments, the learned counsel for petitioner fairly gave up the prayer prayed for by means of present writ petition. He, however, submits that in the facts and circumstances of the case, interest of justice shall better be served, in case, a direction is issued by this Court to Court below to decide the bail application of petitioner in the light of law laid down by the Apex Court in *Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and another (2021) 10*

SCC 773.

5. Learned A.G.A. representing State-respondents 1, 2 and 3 does not oppose the aforesaid prayer made by the learned counsel for petitioner.

6. In view of above, the prayer prayed for by means of present writ petition is, hereby, refused.

7. Having heard the learned counsel for petitioner, the learned A.G.A. representing State-respondents 1, 2 & 3, upon perusal of record and considering the bona fide submissions urged by the counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition shall stand **disposed of** finally with a direction that in case, petitioner appears before court below and applies for bail, then his bail application shall be decided by court concerned in the light of the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of **Satender Kumar Antil (Supra)**.

8. Interim order, if any, stands discharged.

(Padam Narain Mishra,J.) (Rajeev Misra,J.)

May 6, 2026

Rama Kant