

CT CASES 1664/2023  
KAMLESH GUPTA VS. PEEUSH KULSHRESHTHA  
(FARSH BAZAR)

11.03.2026

Present: Complainant with counsel Ms. Isha Kapoor and Sh. Jai Saini.

IO/SI Harshvardhan is present.

Report filed by IO. Copy supplied.

Affidavit filed by complainant. Same is taken on record.

Arguments heard.

Put up for orders at 4:00 pm.

**(AKHIL MALIK)**  
**JMFC-02/SHD/KKD COURTS**  
**DELHI/11.03.2026**

At 4:00 pm.

Vide present order, I shall dispose of the application u/s 156(3) Cr.P.C filed by the complainant.

Arguments already heard.

It is the case of complainant that the complainant and her husband, Dinesh Chander Gupta, were running M/s Chander Cloth House bearing property no. L-9-10, Vijay Chowk, Laxmi Nagar, Delhi, which they had possessed since 1972. On 11.11.2008, the accused filed a civil suit titled "Dinesh Chander Gupta vs. Rajesh (Reg. No. 66505/2008)." In 2009, the accused (who is an advocate) falsely informed them that two suits had been decreed in their favour and handed over photocopies of alleged judgments dated 04.08.2009 and 05.10.2009 claiming ex-parte decrees for adverse possession.

The complainant continued business until June 2019, when police evicted them based on an eviction notice dated 30.05.2019 from the court of Ms. Harleen Singh (SCJ/RC-East). The accused assured them the decrees were valid and demanded Rs.10,000/- on 09.07.2019 for mutation. He continued giving false assurances through WhatsApp in 2021.

In August 2022, after inspecting court records in RC/ARC No. 869/16 (Rajiv Kumar vs. Dinesh Chander Gupta), the complainant discovered that the accused had appeared in the case without authority, forged her husband's signatures, fabricated decrees, and failed to contest proceedings, leading to eviction. It is also submitted that accused also forged court documents/orders which are on record as 'Annexure A-2' and Annexure A-3. Affidavit regarding the same was also filed today. A police complaint was filed on 24.03.2023 at PS Farsh Bazar, but no action has been taken.

By way of the present application, complainant has sought that direction be issued to the SHO concerned or other subordinate officer to investigate the matter and give direction for registration of FIR against the proposed accused under appropriate sections, prosecute and punish the accused person in accordance with law. In his ATR filed today, IO/SI Harshvardhan has submitted that documents Annexure A-2, appears to be doubtful.

Counsel for complainant has also filed copy of final order dated 06.11.2023 passed by Disciplinary Committee of Bar Council of Delhi where, accused Peeush Kulshreshtha was found guilty of gross misconduct and his license was also suspended for the period of 7 years.

I have given my thoughtful consideration to the submissions made on behalf of the complainant and gone through the status report and other documents on record.

Prima-facie cognizable offence appears to be made out in this case. Present matter pertains to serious allegations of creating false and duplicate court orders for purpose of defrauding client. Police investigation is required to ascertain the modus operandi for the alleged offence and how the forged documents were prepared.

Accordingly, the concerned SHO is directed to register the FIR under the relevant sections and conduct a proper investigation in the present case. IO is not bound to arrest the accused until some credible evidence is found against the accused person. Investigation be carried out fairly and expeditiously and final report be submitted within the shortest period.

Copy of the order be given dasti to Naib Court for necessary compliance.

Compliance report be sent by NDOH.

**Put up for compliance report on 20.03.2026.**

**(AKHIL MALIK)**  
**JMFC-02/SHD/KKD COURTS**  
**DELHI/11.03.2026**