



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 180 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

SMT. SURUMI SHIJI
W/O SHIJI VALIAYAKATH BEERAN
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS
R/AT ENGANDIYOOOR, COCHIN
KERALA - 680 567.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI R.SHASHIDHARA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
CITY CENTRAL CRIME BRANCH (WEST)
BENGALURU.
REPRESENTED BY HCGP
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BENGALURU.

2. SMT. ASHWINI RAI
D/O CHITTARANJAN RAI
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS
OFFICE AT: M/S LAPTECH TRADERS
FZC COMPANY, NO.203
2ND FLOOR, SHA SULTAN COMPLEX
VASANTHNAGAR, BENGALURU.

3. REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICE





8TH BLOCK, 80 FEET ROAD
KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU
REPRESENTED BY ITS
AUTHORISED OFFICER.

CAUSE TITLE AMENDED AS PER THE ORDER DATED
27.01.2026.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI H.SHANTHI BHUSHAN, DSGI FOR R3;
SRI K. NAGESHWARAPPA, HCGP FOR R1)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 482 CR.P.C., (FILED U/S 528 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 10.10.2025 PASSED BY THE I ADDL. CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE IN C.C.NO.23988/2025 AND ORDER DATED 16.12.2025 PASSED BY THE COURT OF LII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU IN CRL.R.P.NO.534/2025 ON AN APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER UNDER SECTION 451 AND 457 OF CR.P.C FOR THE RELEASE OF PASSPORT (U6761184) AND THE MOBILE PHONE (SAMSUG GELAXY S 23 ULTRA) SEIZED BY THE RESPONDENT POLICE IN PF NO.24/25 AND 23/25 RESPECTIVELY, WHICH IS PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A AND B RESPECTIVELY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA**

ORAL ORDER

The petitioner is before this Court calling in question an order dated 10.10.2025, passed by the I Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, dismissing the application filed by the



petitioner under Sections 451 and 457 of the Cr.P.C. seeking a direction to release the passport and mobile phone seized in P.F.Nos.24/2025 and 23/2025 respectively, by the respondent – police in C.C.No.23988/2025 and confirmation order dated 16.12.2025, passed by the LII Additional City Civil and Sessions Judge, Bengaluru, in Crl.R.P.No.534/2025.

2. Heard Sri R. Shashidhara, learned counsel for petitioner, Sri K. Nageshwarappa, learned High Court Government Pleader for respondent No.1 and Sri H. Shanthi Bhushan, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India for respondent No.3.

3. The petitioner is an accused in Crime No.33/2024, registered for the offences under Section 120B, 420 and 468 of the IPC. The police after investigation file their charge sheet in C.C.No.23988/2025, for the aforesaid offences. The issue is not with the merit of the matter. What has driven the petitioner to this Court in the subject petition is rejection of his application filed under Sections 451 and 457 of the Cr.P.C. seeking release of his passport and mobile phone, on the score that the petitioner is involved a crime.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a direction be issued to respondent No.1 to release the passport and the mobile as the petitioner is wanting to travel abroad and allow the application preferred by the petitioner before the concerned Court.

5. Learned Deputy Solicitor General of India appearing for respondent No.3 submits that under Section 6(2)(f) of the Indian Passport Act would straight away come in the way of issuance of normal validity passport. He would submit that the petitioner be directed to approach the Passport Authorities seeking his prayer for short validity passport and places reliance upon the order passed by this Court in

W.P.No.28203/2023, disposed on 09.01.2024.

6. This Court in W.P.No.28203/2023, has passed the following order:

"9. The issue did not detain this Court for long or delve deep into matter as this Court while answering an identical circumstance in W.P.No.24269/2023 disposed of on 04.12.2023 has held as under:

"7. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the respective learned counsel and have perused the material on record. In furtherance thereof, the issue that falls for my consideration is:



specified in the application may be made to the passport authority and shall be accompanied by such fee as may be prescribed to meet the expenses incurred on special security paper, printing, lamination and other connected miscellaneous services in issuing passports and other travel documents.

Explanation.—In this section, 'named foreign country' means such foreign country as the Central Government may, by rules made under this Act, specify in this behalf.

(1-A) An application for the issue of—

- (i) a passport under this Act for visiting a named foreign country; or
- (ii) a travel document under this Act, for visiting such foreign country or countries (including a named foreign country) as may be specified in the application or for an endorsement on the passport or travel document referred to in this section, may be made to the passport authority and shall be accompanied by such fee (if any) not exceeding rupees fifty, as may be prescribed.

(1-B) Every application under this section shall be in such form and contain such particulars as may be prescribed.]

(2) On receipt of an application [under this section], the passport authority, after making such inquiry, if any, as it may consider necessary, shall, subject to the other provisions of this Act, by order in writing,—

- (a) issue the passport or travel document with endorsement, or, as the case may be, make on the passport or travel document the endorsement, in respect of the foreign country or countries specified in the application; or**
- (b) issue the passport or travel document with endorsement, or, as the case may be, make on the passport or travel document the**



endorsement, in respect of one or more of the foreign countries specified in the application and refuse to make an endorsement in respect of the other country or countries; or

(c) refuse to issue the passport or travel document or, as case may be, refuse to make on the passport or travel document any endorsement.

(3) Where the passport authority makes an order under clause (b) or clause (c) of sub-section (2) on the application of any person, it shall record in writing a brief statement of its reasons for making such order and furnish to that person on demand a copy of the same unless in any case the passport authority is of the opinion that it will not be in the interests of the sovereignty and integrity of India, the security of India, friendly relations of India with any foreign country or in the interests of the general public to furnish such copy.

6. Refusal of passports, travel documents, etc.—

(1) Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to make an endorsement for visiting any foreign country under clause (b) or clause (c) of sub-section (2) of Section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely:—

- (a) that the applicant may, or is likely to, engage in such country in activities prejudicial to the sovereignty and integrity of India;
- (b) that the presence of the applicant in such country may, or is likely to, be detrimental to the security of India;
- (c) that the presence of the applicant in such country may, or is likely to, prejudice the friendly relations of India with that or any other country;
- (d) that in the opinion of the Central Government the presence of the applicant in such country is not in the public interest.



(2) Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under clause (c) of sub-section (2) of Section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely:—

- (a) the applicant is not a citizen of India;
- (b) that the applicant may, or is likely to, engage outside India in activities prejudicial to the sovereignty and integrity of India;
- (c) the departure of the applicant from India may, or is likely to, be detrimental to the security of India;
- (d) that the presence of the applicant outside India may, or is likely to, prejudice the friendly relations of India with any foreign country;
- (e) that the applicant has, at any time during the period of five years immediately preceding the date of his application, been convicted by a court in India for any offence involving moral turpitude and sentenced in respect thereof to imprisonment for not less than two years;**
- (f) that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant are pending before a criminal court in India;**
- (g) that a warrant or summons for the appearance, or a warrant for the arrest, of the applicant has been issued by a court under any law for the time being in force or that an order prohibiting the departure from India of the applicant has been made by any such court;
- (h) that the applicant has been repatriated and has not reimbursed the expenditure incurred in connection with such repatriation;



(i) that in the opinion of the Central Government the issue of a passport or travel document to the applicant will not be in the public interest.

7. Duration of passports and travel documents.—

A passport or travel document shall, unless revoked earlier, continue in force for such period as may be prescribed and different periods may be prescribed for different classes of passports or travel documents or for different categories of passports or travel documents under each such class:

Provided that a passport or travel document may be issued for a shorter period than the prescribed period—

(a) if the person by whom it is required so desires; or

(b) if the passport authority, for reasons to be communicated in writing to the applicant, considers in any case that the passport or travel document should be issued for a shorter period.

...

10. Variation, impounding and revocation of passports and travel documents.—(1) The passport authority may, having regard to the provisions of sub-section (1) of Section 6 or any notification under Section 19, vary or cancel the endorsements on a passport or travel document or may, with the previous approval of the Central Government, vary or cancel the conditions (other than the prescribed conditions) subject to which a passport or travel document has been issued and may, for that purpose, require the holder of a passport or travel document, by notice in writing, to deliver up the passport or travel document to it within such time as may be specified in the notice and the holder shall comply with such notice.

(2) The passport authority may, on the application of the holder of a passport or a travel document, and with the previous approval of the Central Government also vary or cancel the conditions (other than the prescribed conditions) of the passport or travel document.

(3) The passport authority may impound or cause to be impounded or revoke a passport or travel document,—



(a) if the passport authority is satisfied that the holder of the passport or travel document is in wrongful possession thereof;

(b) if the passport or travel document was obtained by the suppression of material information or on the basis of wrong information provided by the holder of the passport or travel document or any other person on his behalf:

Provided that if the holder of such passport obtains another passport, the passport authority shall also impound or cause to be impounded or revoke such other passport.

(c) if the passport authority deems it necessary so to do in the interests of the sovereignty and integrity of India, the security of India, friendly relations of India with any foreign country, or in the interests of the general public;

(d) if the holder of the passport or travel document has, at any time after the issue of the passport or travel document, been convicted by a court in India for any offence involving moral turpitude and sentenced in respect thereof to imprisonment for not less than two years;

(e) if proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the holder of the passport or travel document are pending before a criminal court in India;

(f) if any of the conditions of the passport or travel document has been contravened;

(g) if the holder of the passport or travel document has failed to comply with a notice under sub-section (1) requiring him to deliver up the same;

(h) if it is brought to the notice of the passport authority that a warrant or summons for the appearance, or a warrant for the arrest, of the holder of the passport or travel document has been



HC-KAR

issued by a court under any law for the time being in force or if an order prohibiting the departure from India of the holder of the passport or other travel document has been made by any such court and the passport authority is satisfied that a warrant or summons has been so issued or an order has been so made.

(4) The passport authority may also revoke a passport or travel document on the application of the holder thereof.

(5) Where the passport authority makes an order varying or cancelling the endorsements on, or varying the conditions of, a passport or travel document under sub-section (1) or an order impounding or revoking a passport or travel document under sub-section (3), it shall record in writing a brief statement of the reasons for making such order and furnish to the holder of the passport or travel document on demand a copy of the same unless in any case, the passport authority is of the opinion that it will not be in the interests of the sovereignty and integrity of India, the security of India, friendly relations of India with any foreign country or in the interests of the general public to furnish such a copy.

(6) The authority to whom the passport authority is subordinate may, by order in writing, impound or cause to be impounded or revoke a passport or travel document on any ground on which it may be impounded or revoked by the passport authority and the foregoing provisions of this section shall, as far as may be, apply in relation to the impounding or revocation of a passport or travel document by such authority.

(7) A court convicting the holder of a passport or travel document of any offence under this Act or the rules made thereunder may also revoke the passport or travel document:

Provided that if the conviction is set aside on appeal or otherwise the revocation shall become void.

(8) An order of revocation under sub-section (7) may also be made by an appellate court or by the High Court when exercising its powers of revision.



(9) On the revocation of a passport or travel document under this section the holder thereof shall, without delay surrender the passport or travel document, if the same has not already been impounded, to the authority by whom it has been revoked or to such other authority as may be specified in this behalf in the order of revocation.

...
...
...

2

100

22. Power to exempt.—Where the Central Government is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient in the public interest so to do, it may, by notification in the Official Gazette and subject to such conditions, if any, as it may specify in the notification,—

(a) exempt any person or class of persons from the operation of all or any of the provisions of this Act or the rules made thereunder; and

(b) as often as may be, cancel any such notification and again subject, by a like notification, the person or class of persons to the operation of such provisions."

(Emphasis supplied)

Section 2(e) defines a 'travel document' which has been issued or deemed to have been issued under the Act. Therefore, the passport becomes a travel document for departure from India and return. Section 3 depicts what a passport would be. Section 5 deals with application for passport and the manner in which the application should be made before the Passport Authorities. Section 6 forms the fulcrum of the lis. Section 6(1) directs that subject to other provisions of the Act the passport authority shall refuse to make an endorsement for visiting any country under clause (b) or (c) of sub-section (2) of Section 5 on several grounds stipulated therein. The grounds are clauses (a) to (d) of sub-section (1) and clauses (a) to (i) of sub-section (2) of Section 6. Section 7 deals with duration of passport and travel documents. A passport or a travel document unless revoked continues to be in force for such period as may be prescribed in the said travel document of each class of passport. Section 10 deals with variation, impounding and revocation of passport and travel documents. Section 22



empowers the Central Government to exempt any person or class of persons from operation of all or any of the provisions of the Act by issuance of a notification.

9. In furtherance of Section 22, Government of India in the Ministry of External Affairs, has issued a notification on 25-08-1993 in G.S.R.570(E) (for short 'GSR 570 Notification'). GSR 570 notification deals with a situation of the kind that is projected in the case at hand. Therefore, I deem it appropriate to notice the notification insofar as it is germane. It reads as follows:

"The Notification dated 25.08.1993 reads as under:

**"MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS
NOTIFICATION**

New Delhi, the 25th August, 1993

G.S.R. 570(E).-In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Section 22 of the Passports Act, 1967 (15 of 1967) and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of External Affairs No. G.S.R. 298(E), dated the 14th April, 1976, the Central Government, **being of the opinion that it is necessary in public interest to do so, hereby exempts citizens of India against whom proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by them are pending before a criminal court in India and who produce orders from the court concerned permitting them to depart from India, from the operation of the provisions of Clause (f) of sub-section (2) of Section 6 of the said Act, subject to the following conditions, namely:—**

(a) the passport to be issued to every such citizen shall be issued—

(i) for the period specified in order of the court referred to above, if the court specifies a period for which the passport has to be issued; or

(ii) if no period either for the issue of the passport or for the travel abroad is specified in such order, the passport shall be issued for a period one year;



(iii) if such order gives permission to travel abroad for a period less than one year, but does not specify the period validity of the passport, the passport shall be issued for one year; or

(iv) if such order gives permission to travel abroad for a period exceeding one year, and does not specify the validity of the passport, then the passport shall be issued for the period of travel abroad specified in the order.

(b) any passport issued in terms of (a) (ii) and (a) (iii) above can be further renewed for one year at a time, provided the applicant has not travelled abroad for the period sanctioned by the court; and provided further that, in the meantime, the order of the court is not cancelled or modified;

(c) any passport issued in terms of (a) (i) above can be further renewed only on the basis of a fresh court order specifying a further period of validity of the passport or specifying a period for travel abroad;

(d) the said citizen shall give an undertaking in writing to the passport issuing authority that he shall, if required by the court concerned, appear before it at any time during the continuance in force of the passport so issued."

(Emphasis supplied)

GSR 570 deals with a situation of the kind in the case at hand. It permits issuance of short validity passport pursuant to the orders that would be passed by the concerned Court according to the specified period and if no period is specified, the passport would be issued for a period of one year. Therefore, it is for the applicant against whom criminal case is pending, in any Court of law in the country, to approach the concerned Court before which the proceeding is pending, and seek for permission to travel; if such permission is granted, it will have to be in tune with the conditions in GSR 570 (supra).

10. Section 24 of the Act empowers the Central Government to make Rules for carrying out the purposes of the Act. Rules are promulgated in the year 1980 i.e., the



passports Rules, 1980 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Rules' for short). Rule 5 deals with form of applications for issue of passport, renewal or re-issuance thereof. The form is found in Schedule-III.

11. The aforementioned form is the broad statutory framework for issuance, re-issuance, variation, cancellation, impounding of passport as also, the form in which the application for issuance or re-issuance is to be made.

APPLICABILITY OF THE ACT TO THE SUBJECT FACTS:

12. The petitioner is issued passport on 11-04-2014. Its validity is up to 10-04-2024. In the interregnum, the petitioner gets embroiled in a crime. The substance of the crime is mother of the petitioner commits suicide. Initially an unnatural death report was prepared by the Police but later when the investigation was directed to be taken up, the petitioner, his father and his wife were all arrayed as accused 1, 2 and 3. The offences were the ones punishable under Sections 302, 201, 120-B, 182 r/w 34 of the IPC. The case was committed to the Court of Sessions and presently pending trial in S.C.No.28 of 2017. Therefore, it is a case where the petitioner is one of the accused in an offence which can even result in capital punishment. The trial is in progress. The petitioner is not absolved of the crime, by any competent Court of law even to this date. It is an altogether different circumstance that the petitioner has been permitted to travel, by the Court of Sessions, intermittently for his work. That is not the issue in the case at hand. The issue is validity of the passport of the petitioner or the passport having less than six months to expire which makes the petitioner ineligible for issuance of visa and travel. Therefore, it is germane to notice whether such ineligibility has a statutory foundation. It therefore becomes germane to notice the acknowledgment of denial issued by the 2nd respondent. It reads as follows:

"Acknowledgement Letter
REISSUE PASSPORT-Normal File No.:BN2075801459323
SANTHOSH BEEJADI SRINIVASA

**Application Status * Service Completion zone Fee
Receipt/Reference No. Penalty Receipt No.**



On Hold NA	GO (Granting)	CPADBFRJW1
Police Verification Mode** Passport No. ECR Status NA	Passport Validity NA	Cancelled NA NA
Documents Submitted successfully 1. Aadhar Card (Address Proof) 2. Scanned Application Form 3. Old passport 4.ac-f ppt-decl	Documents Requiring Re-submission/ Additional Document(s) Required Yes	Documents Verified with originals, however, confirmation from issuing authority is required
On Hold Remarks By Granting Officer: case still pending, await for court order for SVP/Permission from Court.		
Next Appointment Date and Time: 27/09/2023, 12:00PM Reporting Time: 11:45 AM"		

The remark is that the case is still pending, await court order for issuance of short validity passport/await permission from the Court.

13. Section 6 deals with grounds for refusal of passport. Sub-section (2) (e) and (f) of Section 6 quoted supra deal with the present situation. If the applicant at any time during the period of five years immediately preceding the date of application has been convicted for any offence involving moral turpitude and sentenced thereof to imprisonment for not less than 2 years, passport cannot be issued or re-issued. It is not the situation in the case at hand. Clause (f) mandates that where proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant are pending before a criminal court in India, the passport authority is empowered to deny issue of travel document as obtaining in clause (c) of Sub-section (2) of Section (5) of the Act. It is an admitted fact that proceedings against the petitioner are pending trial in S.C.No.28 of 2017 before the learned Sessions Judge for the afore-quoted



offences. Denial of re-issuance of passport is thus in consonance with law.

14. The petitioner has relied on several judgments of co-ordinate Benches of this Court to contend that the issue stands answered and pendency of criminal case should not come in the way of re-issuance or renewal of passport; it can at best be at the time of issuance of passport, at the first instance. The co-ordinate Bench in the case of **KRISHNA CHIRANJEEVI RAO PALUKURI VENKATA** (supra) though considers Section 6(2)(f), observes that the provision would be applicable only to issuance of a fresh passport and not for renewal or re-issuance. For such observation, the co-ordinate Bench follows the judgment rendered by the High Court of Delhi in the case of **ASHOK KHANNA**. The Delhi High Court in the case of **ASHOK KHANNA v. CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**¹ has held as follows:

"14. In the case of Ashok Kumar Sharma (Supra), the case before this court was for re-issuance of the passport wherein case in hand is for renewal of the passport.

15. As per Chapter I Schedule III of the Passport Rules, 1980, passport application Form-I EA(P)-2 is for miscellaneous services of Indian passport for (use in India) (A) Renewal (B) Additional Visa Sheet, (C) Additional Booklet, (D) Change of Address, (E) PCC, (F) Additional Endorsement, (G) Chief Inclusion/deletion) (H) Any other service (specify), therefore, the case of the petitioner does not come under Form EA(P)-1 for new/re-issue/replacement of lost/damaged passport.

16. The case of the petitioner is for renewal of the passport. Neither he is asking for a new passport nor seeking re-issue or replacement of lost or damaged passport, therefore, the said applicant is not applicable in the case of the petitioner.

17. However, the case of the petitioner falls under application Form EA(P)-2 and according to this application, I note in Form EA(P)-1, passport application form (1) serial no. 17 (a), (b) & (c) are as under:

"17(a) Have you at any time during the period of five years immediately preceding the date of this application

¹ **2019 SCC OnLine Del 11080**



been convicted by a court in India for any criminal offence and sentenced to imprisonment for two years or more? If so, give name of the court, case number and relevant sections of Law. (Attach copy of judgment)

.....
(b) Are any criminal proceedings pending against you before a court in India? If so, give name of court, case number and relevant sections of Law.

.....
(c) If answer at (b) is (Y)es, please furnish No Objection Certificate from competent court for grant of Passport.

.....
(d) Have you been ever refused/denied passport? If yes, give details:

.....
(e) Has your passport ever been impounded/revoked? If yes, give details:

.....
(f) Have you ever applied/granted political asylum by any foreign country? If yes, give details:

....."

18. Whereas in Form EA(P)-2, serial number 5 is application which is reproduced as under:

"5. Are any criminal proceedings pending against applicant in criminal court in India or any other disqualifications under section 10(3)."

19. Thus, in EA(P)-2, there is no such condition to take certificate from the court. Thus, the respondent has misread the provisions and contents of the two applications mentioned above.

20. Moreover, Rule 5 is applicable for renewal of passport which is as under:

"5. Form of applications.-[(1)] An application for the issue of a passport or travel document or for the renewal thereof



or for any miscellaneous service shall be made in the appropriate Form set out therefore in Part I of Schedule III and in accordance with the procedure and instructions set out in such form:

[Provided that every application for any of the aforesaid purposes shall be made only in the form printed and supplied by-

(a) the Central Government; or

(b) Any other person whom the Central Government may notification to the condition that such complies that Government behalf:

Provided further that] in the course of any inquiry under sub-section (2) of section 5, a passport authority may require an applicant to furnish such additional information, documents or certificates, as may be considered necessary by such authority for the proper disposal of the application.

4[(2) The price of the new application forms referred to in sub-rule (1) shall be as specified in column 3 or 4, as the case may be, of Schedule III A for that particular category:

[***]

[(3) The Passport Authority may authorise any person or authority to collect passport applications on its behalf for issue of a passport or travel document or for the renewal thereof or for any miscellaneous service on payment of a service charge specified by the Central Government under sub-rule (2) of rule 8 in addition to the fee payable under sub-rule (1) of rule 8 and the service charge shall be paid by the applicant to such person or authority.]

21. In view of above provisions, there is a separate provision for renewal of the passport, therefore, section 6 is not applicable in the present case.

22. Though Passport Authority is not made party in the present appeal, I exercise powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, accordingly, the said authority is directed to renew the passport of the petitioner within 15 days from the receipt of this order."

(Emphasis supplied)



The subsequent judgment by another co-ordinate Bench in **SANJAY G.KHENY** (supra) relies on the afore-quoted judgment of other co-ordinate Bench in the case of **KRISHNA CHIRANJEEVI RAO PALUKURI VENKATA** and allows the petition holding that it can at best be for issuance of passport and cannot be for re-issuance or renewal of passport.

15. The judgment of the High Court of Delhi upon which both the judgments of coordinate Benches placed reliance upon was tossed before the Apex Court. The Apex Court in terms of its order dated 02-05-2022 restricted the question of law only to the said case. The Apex Court has, in the case of **CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION v. ASHOK KHANNA**² held as follows:

"1. Delay condoned.

2. In the facts and circumstances of the present case and without expressing any opinion on the question of law sought to be raised in these proceedings, we are not inclined to entertain the Special Leave Petitions under Article 136 of the Constitution. We may also clarify that the order the High Court will be restricted only to the facts and circumstances of the present case and shall have application only to the case of the respondent.

3. The Special Leave Petitions are disposed of, subject to the above clarification.

4. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of."
(Emphasis supplied)

The Apex Court, did not express any opinion on the question of law. Question of law, I mean would be whether an applicant against whom criminal case is pending seeks renewal/reissuance of passport, can be denied or otherwise, but restricted the law laid down by High Court of Delhi only to the facts and circumstances of the case of **ASHOK KHANNA** and will have application only to the case before

² **SLP (Criminal) Diary No.6142 of 2022 decided on 02-05-022**



HC-KAR

the Apex Court. The Special Leave Petitions were disposed with the said clarification.

16. Therefore, the trail of judgments would go this way. The High Court of Delhi holds in the case of **ASHOK KHANNA**, that pendency of a criminal case will not come in the way of re-issuance of passport; this is followed in **KRISHNA CHIRANJEEVI RAO PALUKURI VENKATA**'s case; the said judgment is followed in **SANJAY G.KHENY**'s case. Therefore the foundation, *inter alia*, to render the finding by both the co-ordinate Benches of this Court, was the judgments rendered by the High Court of Delhi. In the light of the Apex Court restricting the findings only to the said respondent, the law declared by the co-ordinate Benches of this Court cannot mean that they have become final and would be binding on this Court to follow.

17. Reference being made to the judgment of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh in the case of **KADAR VALLI SHAIK v. UNION OF INDIA**³ becomes apposite, the Andhra Pradesh High Court considers the entire spectrum of the Act and orders passed by co-ordinate Benches of this Court and holds that Section 6(2)(f) would prevail. The summing up by the Andhra Pradesh High Court is as follows:

"103. To sum up, this Court holds that;

(i) 'Issue' of passport in Section 5 of the Passports Act includes 'renewal' of the passport as well;

(ii) While considering the renewal of the passport, the passport authority would be within its jurisdiction and authority to refuse renewal, on the same grounds as in the cases of issuance of the passport for 'the first time', provided by Section 6 (2) of the Passport Act. In other words, Section 6 (2) of the Passport Act applies to renewal of the passport, as well;

(iii) In the cases for renewal, to which Section 6 (2) (f) of the Passports Act is attracted, i.e., where the applicant is facing criminal trial in a criminal Court in India, renewal of the passport shall be refused, subject to the fulfillment of the condition under the



notification of the Central Government, dated 25.08.1993, issued in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 22 of the Passports Act, upon which such applicant shall stand exempted from the operation of the provisions of Clause (f) of sub-section (2) of Section 6;

(iv) In a case where clause (f) of Section 6 (2) is attracted, the holder of the passport, for its renewal, will have to produce an order from the Court concerned, where the proceedings against him are pending trial in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by him, permitting him to depart from India;

(v) The notification dated 25.08.1993 applies to the citizen applicants for renewal of the passport even if already departed from India under the passport of which renewal is sought.

(vi) On production of an order, from the concerned Court, as referred in the notification, the renewal of the passport shall not be refused only on the ground of Section 6 (2) (f), i.e., mere pendency of the criminal case for trial;

(vii) Condition (d) of the notification dated 25.08.1993 is an additional requirement and is not in substitution of the requirement from those citizen/applicants who have to produce an order of the Court concerned, where the criminal case is pending, permitting him to depart from India.

(Emphasis supplied)

The Andhra Pradesh High Court holds that while considering renewal or re-issuance of passport, the authority would be within its jurisdiction to refuse renewal on the same grounds as in cases of issuance of passport for the first time provided in Section 6(2)(f) of the Act.

18. The unmistakable inference that can be drawn is that, there is no difference between renewal, re-issuance or first issuance of the passport under Section 6(2) of the Act. Every issuance, re-issuance or renewal will have to meet the requirements or pass through the rigours of Section 6. To consider the submission or contra submission, hypothetically as an illustration, at the time of issuance of passport to an applicant, the applicant is clean and no proceedings are



pending against him. In the interregnum during the validity of the passport the applicant gets embroiled in a crime; trial is pending or gets convicted for an offence, it cannot be said that those facts have to be ignored and passport should be directed to be re-issued only on the score that, it is for renewal and no rigour for issuance of a fresh passport can be insisted upon. This would sometimes result in the accused, holder of a passport, fleeing justice and frustrating trial. It may not be in all circumstances, but it is open to such circumstance. It is, therefore, the rigour under Section 6(2)(f) of the Act will have to be given credence as mandated under the statute failing which, it would render section 6(2)(f) of the Act redundant or otiose.

19. This Bench in the case of **KAJAL NARESH KUMAR** (supra) has held as follows:

"8. The afore-narrated facts are not in dispute. The petitioner was in possession of a passport which had expired at the relevant point in time. The petitioner seeks re-issuance of his passport on its expiry. On the basis of the documents submitted, the respondent-Regional Passport Officer reissues the passport in favour of the petitioner. Later when the police verification is done as a routine in every case, it comes to the knowledge of the respondents that the petitioner is involved in a criminal case in Crime No.16 of 2021. Noticing the fact that the petitioner had suppressed the factum of pendency of a criminal case against him and had secured the passport by misrepresentation, issued a notice directing him to surrenders the passport. The involvement of the petitioner as an accused in Crime No.16 of 2021 is not in dispute. 'B' report is yet to be considered by the learned Magistrate. Therefore, the 'B' report being filed will not absolve the petitioner of the crime. Section 6 of the Act reads as follows:

"6. Refusal of passports, travel documents, etc.—(1) Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to make an endorsement for visiting any foreign country under clause (b) or clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely:—



(a) that the applicant may, or is likely to, engage in such country in activities prejudicial to the sovereignty and integrity of India;

(b) that the presence of the applicant in such country may, or is likely to, be detrimental to the security of India;

(c) that the presence of the applicant in such country may, or is likely to, prejudice the friendly relations of India with that or any other country;

(d) that in the opinion of the Central Government the presence of the applicant in such country is not in the public interest.

(2) Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely:—

(a) that the applicant is not a citizen of India;

(b) that the applicant may, or is likely to, engage outside India in activities prejudicial to the sovereignty and integrity of India;

(c) that the departure of the applicant from India may, or is likely to, be detrimental to the security of India;

(d) that the presence of the applicant outside India may, or is likely to, prejudice the friendly relations of India with any foreign country;

(e) that the applicant has, at any time during the period of five years immediately preceding the date of his application, been convicted by a court in India for any offence involving moral turpitude and sentenced in respect thereof to imprisonment for not less than two years;



(f) that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant are pending before a criminal court in India;

(g) that a warrant or summons for the appearance, or a warrant for the arrest, of the applicant has been issued by a court under any law for the time being in force or that an order prohibiting the departure from India of the applicant has been made by any such court;

(h) that the applicant has been repatriated and has not reimbursed the expenditure incurred in connection with such repatriation;

(i) that in the opinion of the Central Government the issue of a passport or travel document to the applicant will not be in the public interest.”

(Emphasis supplied)

Section 6 deals with refusal of passport and travel documents etc. Section 6(2)(f) mandates that if proceedings are pending in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant before a criminal Court in India, the passport authority would have the right to refuse issue of passport or travel documents for visiting any foreign country. Therefore, issuance of passport or re-issuance of passport is subject to Section 6(2)(f) of the Act.

9. It is an admitted fact in the case at hand that a crime in Crime No.16 of 2021 is pending against the petitioner. The Police having filed a 'B' report in the matter would not mean that proceedings against the petitioner have culminated in her acquittal. The rigour of Section 6(2)(f) of the Act gets evaporated only when the applicant who is facing criminal proceedings or a FIR is acquitted, discharged or the proceeding against the said applicant is quashed by a competent Court of law, in exercise of its powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. None of these circumstances exist in the case at hand. All that has happened is, the Police have filed a 'B' report. Mere filing of 'B' report would not mean that the petitioner becomes allegation free qua Section 6(2)(f) of the Act.



(Emphasis supplied)

20. On a coalesce of the provisions of the Act, the Rules, the judgments rendered by the co-ordinate Benches, its restriction by the Apex Court and the judgment rendered by this Bench, would all lead to an unmistakable conclusion that Section 6(2)(f) and GSR 570 Notification makes a person ineligible for issuance of passport. The issuance would include renewal or re-issuance. Separate yardstick is nowhere indicated in the Act or the Rules. The Rules cannot be rendered flexible to such circumstances by a stroke of pen or a fiat of this Court in exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. As long as Section 6(2)(f) stares at any application, be it for fresh, renewal or re-issuance, such application cannot be directed to be granted diluting the rigor of Section 6(2)(f). The applicant is under a cloud, **"if an applicant of the kind in the case at hand, wants to walk over the clouds; the cloud over such applicant must walk away."**

(Emphasis supplied)

In the light of the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the application filed by the petitioner under Sections 451 and 457 of the Cr.P.C. and the impugned orders of the concerned Court are nullity in law. It is for the petitioner to approach respondent No.3 seeking a short validity passport for the purpose of travel with the conditions indicated in the afore-quoted order being fulfilled. Respondent No.3 – Passport Authority shall consider the application of the petitioner, if filed, and issue a short validity passport to the petitioner.



7. Insofar as mobile of the petitioner in P.F.No.23/2025 is concerned, I deem it appropriate to direct the concerned Court to release the Mobile phone of the petitioner. The release of the mobile phone would be in terms of the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **SUNDERBHAI AMBALAL DESAI AND OTHERS V. STATE OF GUJARAT⁴** wherein the Apex Court considering an identical circumstance has held as follows:

"7. In our view, the powers under Section 451 CrPC should be exercised expeditiously and judiciously. It would serve various purposes, namely:

1. owner of the article would not suffer because of its remaining unused or by its misappropriation;

2. court or the police would not be required to keep the article in safe custody;

3. if the proper panchnama before handing over possession of the article is prepared, that can be used in evidence instead of its production before the court during the trial. If necessary, evidence could also be recorded describing the nature of the property in detail; and

4. this jurisdiction of the court to record evidence should be exercised promptly so that there may not be further chance of tampering with the articles.

11. With regard to valuable articles, such as, golden or silver ornaments or articles studded with precious stones, it is submitted that it is of no use to keep such articles in police custody for years till the trial is over. In our view, this submission requires to be accepted. In such cases, the Magistrate should pass

⁴ MANU/SC/1110/2002



appropriate orders as contemplated under Section 451 CrPC at the earliest.

12. For this purpose, if material on record indicates that such articles belong to the complainant at whose house theft, robbery or dacoity has taken place, then seized articles be handed over to the complainant after:

- (1) preparing detailed proper panchnama of such articles;
- (2) taking photographs of such articles and a bond that such articles would be produced if required at the time of trial; and
- (3) after taking proper security.

13. For this purpose, the court may follow the procedure of recording such evidence, as it thinks necessary, as provided under Section 451 CrPC. The bond and security should be taken so as to prevent the evidence being lost, altered or destroyed. The court should see that photographs of such articles are attested or countersigned by the complainant, accused as well as by the person to whom the custody is handed over. Still however, it would be the function of the court under Section 451 CrPC to impose any other appropriate condition.

...

21. However, these powers are to be exercised by the Magistrate concerned. We hope and trust that the Magistrate concerned would take immediate action for seeing that powers under Section 451 CrPC are properly and promptly exercised and articles are not kept for a long time at the police station, in any case, for not more than fifteen days to one month. This object can also be achieved if there is proper supervision by the Registry of the High Court concerned in seeing that the rules framed by the High Court with regard to such articles are implemented properly."

(Emphasis supplied)



In the light of the afore-quoted judgment of the Apex Court, the mobile phone of the petitioner shall be released by way of interim custody to the petitioner by imposing appropriate conditions.

8. For the aforesaid reasons, the following:

O R D E R

- a. The Criminal Petition is allowed in part.
- b. The application filed under Sections 451 and 457 of the Cr.P.C. and the consequential orders dated 10.10.2025 and 16.12.2025, passed by the I Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate in C.C.No.23988/2025 and LII Additional City Civil and Sessions Judge, Bengaluru, do not merit any consideration as they are nullity in law, insofar as the prayer seeking release of passport – P.F.No.24/2025 is concerned.
- c. The application filed under Sections 451 and 457 of the Cr.P.C. insofar as prayer seeking release of mobile seized in P.F.NO.23/2025 is concerned, stands allowed.
- d. The impugned orders dated 10.10.2025 and 16.12.2025, passed by the I Additional Chief



Judicial Magistrate in C.C.No.23988/2025 and LII Additional City Civil and Sessions Judge, Bengaluru, insofar as prayer seeking release of mobile seized in P.F.NO.23/2025 is concerned, stand quashed.

- e. The petitioner shall the concerned Court shall impose such conditions as is directed by the Apex Court in the case of ***SUNDERBHAI (supra)***, before release of the mobile phone of the petitioner.
- f. The petitioner is directed to approach the Authorised Officer of the Regional Passport Office seeking short validity passport as per Section 6(2)(f) of the Indian Passport Act and GSR 570 Notification quoted hereinabove.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending I.A.'s stand disposed, as a consequence.

Sd/-
(M.NAGAPRASANNA)
JUDGE

NVJ
List No.: 1 SI No.: 29
CT:SS