



2026:AHC:18633-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 280 of 2026

Union Transport Corporation

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Of India And Another

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Arunendra Kumar Shukla, Ram Krishna Dubey
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: A.S.G.I., Pranjal Mehrotra

Court No. - 2

HON'BLE ATUL SREEDHARAN, J.
HON'BLE SIDDHARTH NANDAN, J.

1. Present petition is being filed by the petitioner which is transport company. It has certain dues from respondent no.2 which is also a private company as specifically reflected in the cause title itself.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not denied that the relief under Article 226 of the Constitution is being claimed against private company. It is also not disputed that respondent no.1 has nothing to do with the claim of the petitioner or respondent no.2.
3. This Court expresses angst with regard to maintainability of the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution that learned counsel for the petitioner said he wants to withdraw this petition. However, this Court feels that exemplary cost must be imposed to deter such petitions being filed before this Court. This is not the first case, this Court has seen amply several cases, one after the other where Article 226 of the Constitution is not invocable yet they are filed taking precious time of this Court preventing it from dealing with those cases which actually require consideration under Article 226 of the Constitution.
4. Under the circumstances, this case is **dismissed** by imposing a cost of Rs. 5,000/- on the petitioner to be paid into the account of High Court Legal Services Committee.

January 28, 2026
Noman

(Siddharth Nandan,J.) (Atul Sreedharan,J.)