



2025:AHC:227883-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 29227 of 2025

Mohd Rafeek

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Amit Kumar Shukla, Rahul Kumar Tripathi
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C., Pranjal Mehrotra

Court No. - 40

**HON'BLE SARAL SRIVASTAVA, J.
HON'BLE SUDHANSHU CHAUHAN, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.
2. The petitioner by means of the present writ petition has prayed for the following relief:-

"(i) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of thendamus directing the respondent no.3 i.e. Managing Director, Pashchimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. Urja Bhawan, Lucknow to ensure the payment of compensation of Rs.55,00,000/- (Fifty Five Lac Rupees) to the petitioner by deciding the application dated 22.05.2025 of petitioner within stipulated period as fixed by this Hon'ble Court.

(ii) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.3 i.e. Managing Director, Pashchimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. Urja Bhawan, Lucknow to decide the representation dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure No.2 to the writ petition) with regard to compensation of petitioner within stipulated period as fixed by this Hon'ble Court.

(iii) issue any other suitable writ, order or direction, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstance of the case.

(iv) issue award cost of the writ petition to the petitioner."

3. The question as to whether the petitioner has suffered damages to the extent of Rs.55 lacs due to the fire in the shop and go-down of the petitioner because of the fact that transformer of the electricity department installed in

front of the shop of the petitioner caught fire, is a disputed question of fact, which can be determined only on the basis of evidence on record. In such view of the fact, the relief prayed for by the petitioner in the present petition cannot be granted by this Court.

5. Consequently, the writ petition is *dismissed* with liberty to the petitioner to avail the appropriate remedy available under law.

December 17, 2025
NS

(Sudhanshu Chauhan,J.) (Saral Srivastava,J.)