



2025:AHC:192745

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16103 of 2025

Minakshi Bhetwal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Of India, Through Ministry Of Higher Education And
2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Anand Prakash Paul, Kaustubh Tewari
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	A.S.G.I., Manoj Kumar Singh, Shivendu Ojha

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE SAURABH SHYAM SHAMSHERY, J.

1. The writ petition is defective since there are double page numbering on several pages of paper book.
2. At this stage, Sri A.P. Paul, learned counsel for petitioner submits that the writ petition may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh with better particulars.
3. Considering the above prayer made by learned counsel for petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for with cost of Rs. 500/- to be deposited in the Bank account of High Court Bar Association, Allahabad.
4. Registry will accept fresh petition only after receipt of payment of cost.
5. This the fourth matter of date wherein such defects are found by this Court. The Registrar General is directed to look into the matter, why such defects were not pointed out by concerned section at the time of filing of writ petitions. Further, it is directed that before presenting any petition to this Court Registrar General will himself or depute any other Senior Officer of Registry to verify whether defects have been cured or not.
6. A copy of this order shall be served to Registrar General forthwith for compliance.

(Saurabh Shyam Shamschery,J.)

November 3, 2025

AK



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16610 of 2025

Renu Devi And 4 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 15 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Abhinav Tripathi, Akhilesh Kumar
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Kushmondeya Shahi

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE SAURABH SHYAM SHAMSHERY, J.

1. Connect with Writ-A No. 13220 of 2025 and list on the date fixed.
2. Meanwhile, petitioner will file a clear copy of communication dated 09.12.2024 since the copy annexed alongwith this writ petition is illegible.
3. It is very strange that no defect was pointed out by Registry despite the fact that taking note of such repeated incidents, this Court has already passed an order dated 03.11.2025 in Minakshi Bhetwal vs. Union of India and others, 2025:AHC:192745, whereby Registrar General was directed to look into the matter.

(Saurabh Shyam Shamschery,J.)

November 10, 2025

AK