



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 27453 of 2025

Ravendra Kumar Dhobi

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Brij Gopal Singh, Brij Raj Singh,
Saransh Singh

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : Pooja Mishra, G.A.

Court No. - 67

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised.
2. Heard Sri Brij Gopal Singh and Sri Brij Raj Singh, learned counsels for the applicant, Ms. Pooja Mishra, learned counsel for High Court Legal Services Committee and Sri Rajendra Prasad Singh, learned State Law Officer for the State.
3. During the course of arguments in the instant case, one Amit Kumar (Aadhaar No _____), s/o _____, _____, U.P., _____, was found recording the court proceedings without permission. The said act of Amit, who is the Pairokar of the accused in the instant case, amounts to contempt of Court. This act constitutes a serious interference with the administration of justice and a *prima facie* case of criminal contempt under the Contempt of Courts Act.
4. The Pairokar Amit admitted the act of recording the court proceedings using a mobile device. The Court is satisfied that the contempt is of such a nature that it substantially interferes with the due course of justice.
5. Issue notice to said Amit, returnable on 18.12.2025 to show cause as to why contempt proceedings should not be initiated against him for his act of video recording the court proceedings without authorization.
6. List on **18.12.2025** in the daily cause list, if possible, before appropriate

Bench (not before me) after seeking nomination from Hon'ble The Chief Justice/Senior Judge.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

December 1, 2025

SA