ITEM NO.1 COURT NO.4 SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 3832/2022

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-07-2021 in CRLOP No. 11282/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras]

X (DISCLOSING IDENTITY OF THE VICTIM OF SEXUAL OFFENCES UNDER SECTION 228A OF INDIAN PENAL CODE IS PROHIBITED) Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMIL NADU & ANR. Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. IA No. 60807/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT IA No. 60808/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 23-09-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAY BISHNOI

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. V. Elanchezhiyan, AOR
Mr. Shafik Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Thamizhendhi, Adv.
Ms. Abida, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR
Ms. Aashigaa Pravaagini, Adv.
Mr. Vishnu Unnikrishnan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Learned counsel appearing for the State submits that on account of the settlement, the High Court has quashed the prosecution itself. Therefore, this special leave petition has now rendered infructuous.

2. The said stand is opposed by the learned counsel for the

petitioner. On being asked, whether against the order of quashment as directed by the High Court, the petitioner has preferred any petition before this Court, learned counsel declined of taking any recourse.

3. Considering the aforesaid, at present we have no option except to dismiss the present special leave petition seeking cancellation of bail filed by the complainant because of the quashment of the FIR itself. Accordingly, the special leave petition is dismissed.

4. One disturbing factor is still there that while issuing notice by this Court on 13.05.2022, the Registry was directed to list this matter immediately after service is complete to the respondents. As per the office report, Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 were served on 30.05.2022. Even thereafter, the case is not listed by the Registry.

5. The Registrar (Judicial) to hold an enquiry and submit a report in Chambers why the case was not listed for more than three years and three months despite the specific order of this Court and who is responsible for the same. The report be made available in chambers within two weeks.

6. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
AR-CUM-PS

(NAND KISHOR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR