

**Court No. - 2**

**Case :-** WRIT - C No. - 6285 of 2025

**Petitioner :-** M/S Cropscare Infotech Pvt. Ltd. Lucknow Thru. Its Director Ankit Dixit

**Respondent :-** State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief/Prin. Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Education Lko. And 3 Others

**Counsel for Petitioner :-** Amit Jaiswal, Adesh Srivastava, Shobhit Mohan Shukla

**Counsel for Respondent :-** C.S.C., Abhinav Singh

**Hon'ble Rajan Roy, J.**

**Hon'ble Om Prakash Shukla, J.**

Heard.

Legal position is well settled that an order of black listing cannot be passed without show cause notice, and certainly not for an indefinite period. The law in this regard has been explained by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of *Eursian Equipment and Chemicals Vs. State of W.B.*; (1975) 1 SCC 70; *Josheph Vilangadan Vs. The Executive Engineer (PWD) Earnakulam & Ors.*; (1978) 3 SCC 36; *Raghunath Thakur Vs. State of Bihar*; (1989) 1 SCC 229; *Gorkha Security Services Vs. State (N.C.T. of Delhi)*; (2014) 9 SCC 105; *Kulja Industries Ltd. Vs. Chief General Manager, Western Telecom Project, BSNL*; (2014) 14 SCC 731; *M/S Daffodills Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Vs. State of U.P.*; (2020) 18 SCC 550; *Vetindia Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Vs. State of U.P. & Anr.*; (2021) 1 SCC 804; *U.M.C. Technologies Pvt. Ltd. Vs FCI & Anr.*; 2021 (2) SCC 551;.

In spite of the said law declared by Hon'ble the Supreme Court which all authorities are bound to comply in view of the constitutional mandate contained in Article 144 of the Constitution of India, everyday we are flooded with such petitions challenging orders of debarment or black listing on the aforesaid ground, therefore, it is high time we make the officers accountable for such lapse, as ultimately, the petitioner has been made to run to this Court which would have necessarily entail financial and expenses apart from other hardships, and this also wastes the time of the Court.

Let the District Magistrate, Unnao and District Basic Education Officer, Unnao file their own affidavits within 10 days justifying the impugned action, and also, as to why, if it is found that the action is in the teeth of the law declared by Hon'ble the Supreme Court which they are bound to follow,

heavy cost of at least Rs. 50,000/- each be not imposed upon them.

Till the next date of listing, all the three impugned orders shall remain stayed.

List/Put up this case on **21.07.2025 as fresh.**

**Order Date :- 7.7.2025**

Lokesh Kumar

**[Om Prakash Shukla, J.]**

**[Rajan Roy, J.]**