



2025:CGHC:26112

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 3068 of 2025

Satyendra Prakash Suryawanshi S/o Shri N.R. Suryawanshi Aged About 34 Years R/o Ward No. 64, Mahamaynagar Birkona, Thana-Koni, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

- 1 State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Higher Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh.
- **2** The Registrar Pt. Sundarlal Sharma (Open) University, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
- **3** The Registrar, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Vishwavidyalaya, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
- **4** The Exam Controller Pt. Sundarlal Sharma (Open) University, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
- **5** The Exam Controller, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Vishwavidyalaya District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

Petitioner-in-person : Mr. Satyendra Prakash Suryawanshi

For State/respondent No.1: Mr. Satish Gupta, Govt. Advocate

For Respondents No.2 & 4: Mr. Prateek Singh Thakur, Advocate

appears on behalf of Mr. Anoop

Majumdar, Advocate

For Respondents No.3 & 5: Ms. Hamida Siddiqui, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Arvind Kumar Verma Order on Board

20/06/2025

Heard.

1. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner on the following relief(s):

"10.1 That this Hon'ble Court pleased to direct the respondent authorities to make necessary amendments modifications in the final or examination timetable of the Petitioner in such a manner that the examinations for the two academic programmes i.e. M.S.W. from Pt. Sundarlal Sharma University and LL.B (Part-III, 2ND) (Open) Semester) from Atal Bihari Vajpayee Vishwavidyalaya do not clash and should conducted at separate times or on different dates, in the interest of justice.

10.2 Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deems fit and proper may also be passed in favor of the petitioner together with cost of the petition."

2. Mr. Satyendra, petitioner-in-person contended that he was taken Readmission on the basis of the notification issued by respondent no. 2 &

4 is prima facie arbitrary and contrary in the eye of law. The UGC has issued a revised order regarding the pursuit of two degrees simultaneously, thereby removing all ambiguities. He further contended that the Government of Chhattisgarh has constituted a Task Force for the implementation of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and has directed all state universities to make the curriculum inclusive. An Article 21 of the Constitution of India is a basic fundamental right that protects the right to life and personal liberty, unconstitutional. and any violation thereof is unconstitutional. Prima facie, there appears to be mismanagement and lack of commitment in the implementation of the policy allowing the pursuit of two degrees simultaneously and it is prayed that this Court may kindly be pleased to stay the effect and operation of exam scheduled released by both institutions that reflect a direct conflict, which affects four subjects of the examination scheduled on the same date and at the same time, till disposal of the petition.

- **3.** Learned counsel for the respective respondents oppose the submission made by the petitioner.
- **4.** I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with utmost circumspection.
- 5. Taking into consideration the fact that the relief which has been sought by the petitioner cannot be granted in exercise of writ jurisdiction and the petitioner has no locus to direct the respondent authorities to make

4

modifications in the final examination timetable for the two academic programmes, i.e. M.S.W. from Pt. Sundarlal Sharma (Open) University and LL.B (Part-III, 2ND Semester) from Atal Bihari Vajpayee Vishwavidyalaya, in view of the considered opinion of this Court, no

case is made out for any interference.

6. Accordingly, the petition being devoid of any merit is liable to be and is hereby dismissed.

Sd/-

(Arvind Kumar Verma) **Judge**

Vasant