

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL)..... Diary No(s).57293/2024

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-03-2024
in SA No.139/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras]

THE CHENNAI LAW FIRM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

REYVISH ASSOCIATES (P) LTD

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 35342/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING, IA No. 35345/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILEING/CURING THE DEFECTS, IA No. 35343/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 35344/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 28-02-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGHFor Petitioner(s) :Mr. L. Sriram, Adv.
Mr. Shanmugaraja, Adv.
Mr. G Ananda Selvam, Adv.
Mr. Mayilsamy K, Adv.
Mr. Habib Muzaffar, Adv.
Mr. Jay Kishor Singh, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. We see no reason to take a different view than that of the High Court, in view of Section 69 (2) of the Partnership Act, 1932. The other contention raised on behalf of the petitioner, based upon provisions of the Legal Practitioners (Fees) Act, 1988, cannot be entertain as no legal practitioner, juristic or otherwise, was the

plaintiff before the Civil Court.

4. The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

5. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR